

Tobacco Prevention for Specific Populations Meeting 3 Evaluation and Feedback Results

1. Accomodation and Organization

	Excellent (5):		Very Good (4):		Good (3):		Fair (2):		Poor (1):		N/A (0):		Average
	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	
a. Between-meeting communication	6	35%	8	47%	3	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.2
b. Hotel accomodations	2	13%	4	25%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	9	56%	4.0
c. Meeting facilities	8	47%	8	47%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
d. Lunch & snacks	5	31%	6	38%	5	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.0

Comments:

Hotel needs to recognize you paid them for drinks that you expected to be sufficient - i.e. hot coffee, insufficient amounts - they have to put a flame under coffee pot. Temperature of room. Hotel needs to be more attentive to changing weather [outside] dramatically affects interior temperature.

Sorry! This time my room had a leaky window which did not let me sleep. But the hotel is very nice! Thank Room 223!

Nice to have information on-line on website. Thanks! Also appreciate the emails sent before meeting. Thanks for the snack bags! Very thoughtful.

Very good and accomodating.

Rooms were cold. Lunch was okay.

Vegatarian meals should include a source of protein and not just more veggies - tasty though.

2. Based on the information presented throughout the process, I have an adequate understanding of ...

	Strongly Agree:		Agree:		Neutral:		Disagree:		Strongly Disagree:		Average
	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	
a. My role and responsibilities as a workgroup member	10	59%	6	35%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
b. The project deliverables	9	53%	7	41%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
c. The goals of the project	10	59%	6	35%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
d. Systems changes	8	47%	7	41%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
e. The Tobacco Use Prevention Program (TUPP)	9	53%	6	35%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
f. Statewide Tobacco Prevention Plan	8	47%	7	41%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
g. Marketing Plan	7	47%	7	47%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
h. Next steps/moving the strategic plan forward	8	53%	4	27%	3	20%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3

Comments:

Everything came together very nicely today. The online common meeting area that the website serves as is a great resource. Excellent job of facilitating process.

3. Participation and Outcomes

	Strongly Agree:		Agree:		Neutral:		Disagree:		Strongly Disagree:		Average
	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	
a. There has been adequate time for getting to know each other and building an effective team.	8	47%	9	53%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
b. I am sufficiently aware of the knowledge and expertise the other workgroup members bring to the process.	7	41%	9	53%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
c. The workgroup and the process are sufficiently inclusive and representative of specific populations beyond racial/ethnic groups.	8	47%	5	29%	4	24%	0	0%	0	0%	4.2
d. I felt comfortable expressing my views.	11	65%	5	29%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.6

3. Participation and Outcomes (continued)

	Strongly Agree:		Agree:		Neutral:		Disagree:		Strongly Disagree:		Average
	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	
e. There was adequate time for questions, answers, and discussion.	10	59%	6	35%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
f. The group made sufficient progress at this meeting.	8	47%	9	53%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
g. The group made sufficient progress during the consensus of the group.	9	53%	8	47%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
h. The decisions reached accurately reflected the consensus of the group.	10	59%	6	35%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5
i. The identified strategies and action steps are specific, measurable, achievable and relevant.	8	47%	7	41%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
j. The marketing plan developed today is complete and achievable and will forward the implementation of the strategic plan.	6	38%	8	50%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3
k. I see ways I will be able to implement the results of this process in my community or organization.	5	29%	12	71%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3
l. I understand how this plan will be implemented.	5	29%	9	53%	3	18%	0	0%	0	0%	4.1

Comments:

The pace of the process I believe positively contributed to the success and quality of the effort. My experience over many years of similar groups is the more meetings you have can result in "nit picking" versus moderate product/process development. The superb organizational support and expertise that supported this process is the key to its success.

I truly believe all of these points were covered and covered well. Discussion was conducive to getting things achieved. Very rewarding.

I do feel that 3 meetings were adequate. The group came together well with well prepared facilitators and critical information inserted at appropriate times. I am very appreciative of TUPP staff - Good Job - Great Passion and Super relationship building!!!

I think the group did a good job.

4. If there were other aspects of the "Participation and Outcomes" (Question #3) that could be improved, please share with us your suggestions.

PA cost study has good tools that could have been used in initial meetings - example: the population assessment tool, SWOT

None

N/A

None

5. What part(s) of the process did you find to be most valuable? Why?

Organizational support - contractors and KDHE Staff support was invaluable. Website beautifully created and maintained.

The open discussion of the critical issue goals and strategies. Janet did an exceptional job in keeping the group on task while allowing free-flow conversation.

Small group discussions because there was a better chance for brainstorming and a positive dialog.

Group feedback because 20 heads are better than 1.

The whole experience was great.

Small group work.

Working as a large group when we revisited strategies and action steps. Wish we had more time to tackle each action step.

The process itself that led to a very satisfactory result. It felt we got things achieved!

Learning of resources.

The fact that you organized/structured the process in a total of 4 days. I believe that people will fill the time allowed - Good job.

6. What part(s) of the process did you find to be the least valuable? Why?

Sometimes the pace was slower than necessary, but I'm "meeting impatient" by nature and realize that with this size group not all people want to move at warp speed.

Ice breaker, including the "bucket" exercise.

None

N/A

Found everything valuable. A really great third meeting.

The group review of the strategies. Members were "territorial" about this strategy and seemed reluctant to see the broader picture. I had a hard time with the processing time today.

7. What recommendations do you have as we move forward?

Establish future Wordgroup(s)!

Create motivation.

Clearly defined direction.

Think it's a great idea to reconvene the workgroup throughout the year. Like the idea of in person meeting twice per year.

To come to the group (via email or website) if there are any questions about the plan.

Establish champions for the different critical issues.

For me to continue practicing "WAIT" - why am I talking?

8. Are there any other comments or suggestions you would like to share?

Very effective, efficient process. Very professionally managed.

Recommendation: Make sure higher-ed students chosen to participate are engaged!

None

Thanks for a great meeting. Thanks also Janet for a job well done.

I just hope the plan can be truly implemented!

Very pleased over all and excited, engaged, and empowered to move forward with action - lets do it!

I would like to see an "organizational" chart of how the programs are connected (or not). I am having difficulty seeing how all the pieces are put together.