

Tobacco Use Prevention Data and Evaluation Workgroup Meeting 1 Evaluation Results

August 27, 2007

1a <i>My role</i>	and responsil	bilites as a wo	rkgroup memb	er			
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
19% (3)	81% (13)	0%(0)	0% (0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(3)	4.19
1 b <i>The go</i>	als of the proje	ect					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
31% (5)	56% (9)	13% (2)	0% (0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(5)	4.19
1 c Compre	ehensive Toba	cco Use Preve	ention				
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Averag
41% (7)	47% (8)	12% (2)	0% (0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(7)	4.29
1 d <i>Health</i> y	/ Kansans 2010	0					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Averag
29% (5)	65% (11)	6% (1)	0% (0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(5)	4.24
1 e CDC's l	Evaluation Pro	cess					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Averag
29% (5)	53% (9)	18% (3)	0% (0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(5)	4.12
1 f Kansas	Tobacco-Rela	ated Data Res	ources				
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Averag
24% (4)	71% (12)	6% (1)	0% (0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(4)	4.18
1 g Goal A	rea 1: Prevent	Initiation Amo	ng Young Peop	ole			
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Averag
24% (4)	65% (11)	6% (1)	6% (1)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(4)	4.06
1 h <i>Goal Ai</i>	rea 2: Eliminate	e Exposure to	Secondhand S	moke			
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Averag
29% (5)	53% (9)	12% (2)	6%(1)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(5)	4.06

	rea 3: Promote	•					_
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
29% (5)	47% (8)	18% (3)	6% (1)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(5)	4.00
1j Specifi	c Populations	Strategic Plan	1				
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
20% (3)	73% (11)	0%(0)	7%(1)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(3)	4.07
1k Workgi	roup tasks due	before next n	neeting				
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
14% (2)	79% (11)	7% (1)	0%(0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(2)	4.07
1I The wo	orkgroup's next	t steps					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
7.19.00							

1 m Comments

I think people have a very good understanding of a) what data would be useful for them, b) what's missing, c) what they can contribute. We could have skipped all of the presentations today and gone straight to that and gotten this whole thing done in one day!

Thanks for asking our participation!

Absent, came late from another meeting. Left prior to end of meeting.

I need more time to study the the notebook information.

Participation and Outcomes

2a There I	has been adequ	uate time for g	etting to know	each other and	building a fur	nctional wo	rkgroup.
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
6% (1)	56% (9)	25% (4)	13% (2)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(1)	3.56
2b lam su proces	-	e of the know	ledge and expe	rtise the other w	orkgroup me	mbers brin	g to the
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
6% (1)	65% (11)	0%(0)	29% (5)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(1)	3.47
2c There	was adequate t	ime for questi	ions, answers, a	and discussion.			
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
12% (2)	41% (7)	24% (4)	24% (4)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(2)	3.41

Meeting 1 Evaluation Resuls Page 2

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average
12% (2)	65% (11)	24% (4)	0%(0)	0%(0)	0% (0)	(2)	3.88
2e Isee h	ow my organiza	ation is releva	nt to this plann	ing process			
2e I see he Strongly Agree	ow my organiza Agree	ation is releva Neutral	nt to this plann Disagree	ing process Strongly Disagree	N/A	Blank	Average

2f Comments

Disclaimers - I am representing <another person> today. I am not familiar with all tobacco-related resposibilities for our organization, nor many of the tobacco organizations represented here, so I "listened" a lot.

3 What part(s) of today's meeting did you find most valuable? Why?

Logic models provided a visual and step by step process.

Well layed out evaluation program with resources on tobacco evaluation. Can be used by other programs as a guide.

I think the meeting was well organized, and I am not sure that there was one most and least valuable part of the day.

Interaction with others. Good overview at first.

Each of equal value.

Being able to connect with other groups/work areas.

Comprehensive review of tobacco prevention and cessation; clarity of issues; group disucssion; rich exchange of ideas.

4 What part(s) of today's meeting did you find to be the least valuable? Why?

Slides although necessary become deadening afer a while.

None.

Time was short for good discussion.

Could have shortened 1st half from my perspective, but maybe others did not have same level of knowledge regarding outcomes/logic models, etc. Very bright, knowledgeable and diverse group of people.

All valuable (equally).

Overviews, notebook information.

Workgroup Discussion.

5 What recommendations do you have as we finalize Meeting 2 plans?

More time is needed.

Examples of successful programs.

Have room arranged in a way to facilitate conversations better.

Much to digest in short time period. Not enough time for questions after each presentation.

Longer meetings and more meetings. Need more time on each topic.

Make certain before split up groups that they understand what is expected of them.

Inadequate time for group discussion feedback.

Meeting 1 Evaluation Resuls Page 3

6 Are there any other comments or suggestions you would like to share?

Long term continuations of workgroups.

One row of tables unusable because of AV cart.

Perhaps 3 meetings is not enough. Perhaps a whole day instead of 4 hours.

Great group of folks. Very promising project.

Meeting 1 Evaluation Resuls Page 4